METHODOLOGY REPORT ASAPH NATIONAL SURVEY WAVE 9

Prepared for The Annenberg Public Policy Center of the University of Pennsylvania

Prepared by:

Kyle Berta Chintan Turakhia



NOVEMBER 16, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview	3
Questionnaire Design	3
Sample Design: The SSRS Opinion Panel	3
Data Collection	3
Survey Sampling	3
Survey Administration Procedures	4
Quality Control Checks	4
Completion Rate/Response Rate	5
Weighting	
Base weight (BW)	5
Probability Panel Weighting	
Trimming	
Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Inference	6
Deliverables	
Appendix I: Sample Demographics	8

OVERVIEW

The Annenberg Public Policy Center of the University of Pennsylvania (APPC) engaged SSRS to conduct the ninth wave of the Annenberg Survey of Attitudes on Public Health (ASAPH) National Survey. The survey was conducted via the SSRS Opinion Panel and invited U.S. adults aged 18 and older who completed the ASAPH Wave 1 survey to participate. Data collection was conducted from October 11 – October 18, 2022, among a sample of 1,572 respondents in English (1,544) and Spanish (28). The web total respondents were 1,532 and there were 40 telephone respondents. Data were weighted to represent the target U.S. adult population.

This report provides information about the sampling procedures and the methods used to collect, process, and weight data for ASAPH National Survey Wave 9.

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

The questionnaire was developed by APPC in consultation with the SSRS project team. Prior to the field period, SSRS programmed the study into its Forsta Plus (formerly known as Confirmit) platform that allows data to be collected online or through Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). Extensive checking of the program was conducted to ensure that skip patterns and sample splits followed the design of the questionnaire.

SAMPLE DESIGN: THE SSRS OPINION PANEL

SSRS Opinion Panel members are recruited randomly based on nationally representative ABS (Address Based Sample) design (including Hawaii and Alaska). ABS respondents are randomly sampled by Marketing Systems Group (MSG) through the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence File (CDS), a regularly-updated listing of all known addresses in the U.S. For the SSRS Opinion Panel, known business addresses are excluded from the sample frame.¹

The SSRS Opinion Panel is a multi-mode panel. Internet households participate via web while all non-internet households (including those who have internet but are unwilling to take surveys online) participate via phone.

DATA COLLECTION

Survey Sampling

Sample for the ASAPH National Survey Wave 9 consisted of n=1,905 SSRS Opinion Panelists who completed the Wave 1 survey. The sample for Wave 1 was stratified by age, gender, race and ethnicity, education, region, party identification and language to ensure adequate representation of each.

¹ Prior to July 2019, the SSRS Opinion Panel was recruited entirely from RDD sample.

Survey Administration Procedures

A "soft launch" inviting a limited number of panelists to participate was conducted the morning of October 11, 2022. After checking soft launch data to ensure that all questionnaire content and skip patterns were correct, the remaining sample was released later in the day.

Web panelists were emailed an invitation to complete the survey online. The email for each respondent included a unique passcode-embedded link. All respondents not responding to their first invitation received up to three reminder emails and non-responding panelists who had opted into receiving text messages from the SSRS Opinion Panel received a text message reminder.

In appreciation for their participation, web-panelists received a \$15 incentive in the form of an electronic gift card. Telephone respondents received a \$15 incentive in the form of a mailed check.

Median survey length was 17 minutes online and 36 minutes by phone.

Quality Control Checks

For web surveys, quality checks were incorporated into the survey. For APPC National Survey Wave 9, SSRS built in three closed-ended trap questions to the web version of the program. Respondents who failed the quality checks were not included in the final data set. This included:

- 1. Respondents who answered two or more trap questions incorrectly (n=1);
- 2. Respondents with a length of interview (LOI) less than 20% of the overall mean LOI² (n=4);
- 3. Respondents who skipped more than 10% the questions asked 3 (n=0).

A total of N=5 completed surveys were removed (0.3%).4

For telephone surveys, interviews are closely monitored by interviewing staff for quality control. In addition, select recordings are reviewed by supervisors to monitor quality and interviewer procedures.

³ 99.5% of respondents answered 100% of questions asked.

² LOI less than 3.4 minutes.

⁴ Panelists may fail multiple quality control checks, therefor the total number of removals may be less than the cumulative number of failed tests.

COMPLETION RATE/RESPONSE RATE

Table 2 details the survey completion rate for this study.

Table 2: Completion Rate/Response Rate:

Touchpoint	Web	Telephone	Overall
Invited to Participate/Total Sample	1,832	73	1,905
Completed ⁵	1,532	40	1,572
Survey Completion Rate	84%	55%	83%

WEIGHTING

Data were weighted to represent the residential adult population of the United States. The data were weighted by applying a base weight and balancing the demographic profile of the sample to target population parameters.

Base weight (BW)

The final weight for ASAPH Wave 1 was used as the base weight.

Probability Panel Weighting

With the base weight applied, the data were weighted to balance the demographic profile of the sample to the target population parameters.

Missing data in the raking variables were imputed using hot decking. Hot deck imputation replaces the missing values of a respondent randomly with another similar respondent without missing data. Hot decking was done using an SPSS macro detailed in 'Goodbye, Listwise Deletion: Presenting Hot Deck Imputation as an Easy and Effective Tool for Handing Missing Data' (Myers, 2011).

Weighting was accomplished using SPSSINC RAKE, an SPSS extension module that simultaneously balances the distributions of all variables using the GENLOG procedure.

Data were weighted to distributions of: sex by age, sex by education, age by education, race/ethnicity, census region, civic engagement, population density, party ID⁶, voter registration, religion, and internet use frequency. The main demographic benchmarks were obtained from the 2022 Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC) of Current Population Survey (CPS)⁷. The civic engagement benchmark was derived

⁵ Excludes cases removed for quality control reasons.

⁶ The party ID used in weighting is not the party ID asked on this survey. It is the party ID from the panel measured concurrently to the 2022 Pew NPORS data that was used to extract the party ID benchmark.

⁷ Sarah Flood, Miriam King, Renae Rodgers, Steven Ruggles, J. Robert Warren and Michael Westberry. Integrated Public Use Microdata Series, Current Population Survey: Version 10.0 2022 ASEC. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2022. https://doi.org/10.18128/D030.V10.0.

from September 2019 CPS Volunteering and Civic Life Supplement data ⁸⁹. Population density was derived from Census Planning Database 2020¹⁰. The registered voter benchmark is from Aristotle Voter Data 2021. The party ID, internet frequency, and religion benchmarks came from NPORS annual dataset released by Pew Research¹¹¹². Weights were trimmed at the 2nd and 98th percentiles to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on survey-derived estimates. The table in Appendix I compares unweighted and weighted sample distributions to target population benchmarks.

Trimming

Weights were trimmed at the 2nd and 98th percentiles to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence.

Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Inference

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. SSRS calculates the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or *deff* represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from a disproportionate sample design and systematic non-response. The total sample design effect for this survey is 1.87.

SSRS calculates the composite design effect for a sample of size n, with each case having a weight, w, as: 13

$$deff = \frac{n \sum w^2}{(\sum w)^2}$$

The survey's margin of error is the largest 95% confidence interval for any estimated proportion based on the total sample — the one around 50%. For example, the margin of error for the entire sample is ± 3.4 percentage points. This means that in 95 out of every 100 samples drawn using the same methodology, estimated proportions based on the entire sample will be no more than 3.4 percentage points away from their true values in the population. Margins of error for subgroups will be larger. It is important to remember that sampling fluctuations are only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Other sources, such as respondent selection bias, questionnaire wording, and reporting inaccuracy, may contribute additional error of greater or lesser magnitude.

⁸ https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/about/supplemental-surveys.html

⁹ Civically engaged respondents are defined as those who have volunteered in the past 12 months or who talk to their neighbors daily. ¹⁰ https://www.census.gov/topics/research/guidance/planning-databases/2020.html

¹¹ Party ID - https://www.pewresearch.org/methods/fact-sheet/national-public-opinion-reference-survey-npors/ - May 29 to Aug 25, 2021.

¹² Internet frequency and Religion - https://www.pewresearch.org/methods/fact-sheet/national-public-opinion-reference-survey-npors/ - May 23 to Sept 6, 2022.

¹³ Kish, L. (1992). Weighting for Unequal Pi. Journal of Official Statistics, Vol. 8, No.2, 1992, pp. 183-200.

DELIVERABLES

Final deliverables for this study were as follows:

- Weighted SPSS dataset
- Topline Report
- Methodology Report

APPENDIX I: SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS

Category	Values	Parameter	Unweighted	Weighted
Sex by age	Male 18-24	5.7%	3.8%	5.4%
	Male 25-34	8.7%	9.0%	8.7%
	Male 35-44	8.5%	8.3%	8.5%
	Male 45-54	7.8%	7.5%	7.9%
	Male 55-64	8.1%	7.8%	8.0%
	Male 65+	10.0%	12.5%	9.9%
	Female 18-24	5.6%	4.0%	5.7%
	Female 25-34	8.7%	10.8%	8.9%
	Female 35-44	8.5%	9.6%	8.6%
	Female 45-54	7.9%	7.7%	8.0%
	Female 55-64	8.5%	8.4%	8.5%
	Female 65+	12.0%	10.5%	11.9%
Sex by education	Male HS grad or less	20.1%	8.0%	19.5%
	Male Some college	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%
	Male College grad +	16.2%	28.5%	16.5%
	Female HS grad or less	18.6%	10.6%	18.7%
	Female Some college	14.0%	16.7%	14.1%
	Female College grad +	18.6%	23.7%	18.8%
Age by education	18-34 HS grad or less	11.5%	5.2%	11.2%
	18-34 Some college	8.7%	6.9%	8.6%
	18-34 College grad +	8.6%	15.5%	8.8%
	35-54 HS grad or less	11.3%	6.0%	11.3%
	35-54 Some college	7.8%	9.0%	7.9%
	35-54 College grad +	13.6%	18.1%	13.8%
	55+ HS grad or less	16.0%	7.4%	15.6%
	55+ Some college	9.9%	13.2%	10.0%
	55+ College grad +	12.6%	18.5%	12.7%

Table continued on next page...

Category	Values	Parameter	Unweighted	Weighted
	White non-Hisp	62.0%	67.6%	62.3%
	Black non-Hisp	12.0%	8.5%	11.7%
	Hispanic, US Born	8.2%	8.3%	8.2%
Race/ethnicity	Hispanic, Foreign Born	8.9%	4.4%	8.9%
	Asian, non-Hisp	6.3%	9.0%	6.4%
	Other non-Hisp	2.5%	2.2%	2.5%
	Northeast	17.4%	19.0%	17.4%
Census region	Midwest	20.6%	18.4%	20.2%
Cerisus region	South	38.3%	36.8%	38.4%
	West	23.7%	25.8%	24.0%
Ci. ia an an an an an	Not engaged	67.3%	47.1%	67.1%
Civic engagement	Civically engaged	32.7%	52.9%	32.9%
	1 Lowest 20%	20.0%	17.5%	19.8%
	2	20.0%	20.9%	20.1%
Population density	3	20.0%	20.0%	19.8%
	4	20.0%	21.2%	20.2%
	5 Highest 20%	20.0%	20.5%	20.1%
	Rep	27.1%	24.6%	27.0%
Party ID (panel)	Dem	31.6%	34.6%	31.9%
	Ind/Other	41.3%	40.8%	41.1%
	Registered to vote	76.9%	84.5%	77.3%
Voter Registration	Not registered	23.1%	15.5%	22.7%
Dell'e l'e	Affiliated	68.6%	72.7%	68.8%
Religion	Not Affiliated	31.4%	27.3%	31.2%
	Almost constantly	42.9%	41.6%	43.0%
	Several times a day	43.1%	48.8%	43.3%
Later and East	About once a day	5.1%	5.1%	5.2%
Internet Frequency	Several times a week	3.6%	2.2%	3.5%
	Less often	2.3%	1.3%	2.2%
	Not an internet user	3.0%	1.0%	2.8%